

7. GRÖBNER BASES

Theorem 7.1 (Hilbert's Basis Theorem)

Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal.

Then $\exists p_1, \dots, p_s \in I$ such that $I = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle$

Proof

$S_0 = \langle 0 \rangle$, so we may assume $I \neq S_0$.

Fix an arbitrary monomial order $>$ and consider $\text{LT}(I) >$

Lemma 6.12 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(p_1), \dots, \text{LT}(p_s) \rangle$

for some $p_1, \dots, p_s \in I$. Claim: $I = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle$.

Proof of claim:

Let $f \in I$. By multivariate polynomial division

$$f = q_1 p_1 + \dots + q_s p_s + r$$

and no term of r is divisible by $\text{LT}(p_1), \dots, \text{LT}(p_s)$.

On the other hand

$$r = f - q_1 p_1 - \dots - q_s p_s \in I,$$

so if $r \neq 0$ then $\text{LT}(r) \in \text{LT}(I) \subset \langle \text{LT}(p_1), \dots, \text{LT}(p_s) \rangle$

which would contradict indivisibility of terms of r .

Hence $r = 0$ and $f \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle$. \square

Definition 7.2

Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideal and \succ a monomial order.

A Gröbner basis of I is a finite subset

$G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ such that

$$\langle LT(I) \rangle = \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$$

Hilbert's Basis Theorem proof \Rightarrow every ideal has a Gröbner basis for any monomial order.

(Convention: The zero ideal is generated by
the empty set $\{\} = \langle \emptyset \rangle$)

Lemma 7.3

$\{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset I$ is a Gröbner basis of I if and only if

$$p \in I \Rightarrow LT(p) \in \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$$

Proof

$$\Rightarrow p \in I \Rightarrow LT(p) \in LT(I) \subset \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle$$

\Leftarrow Let $f \in \langle LT(I) \rangle$. Then $f = \sum_j h_j LT(p_j)$
for some $h_j \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $p_j \in I$.

$$\text{By assumption } LT(p_j) = LT(g_{i,j}) \cdot q_j, \quad q_j \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$
$$\Rightarrow f = \sum_j h_j q_j, \quad LT(q_j) \in \langle LT(g_1), \dots, LT(g_s) \rangle \quad \square$$

Example 7.4

$$(1) \text{ Example 6.13} \Rightarrow P_1 = -t+x-1, \quad P_2 = -t^2+y+1$$

is not a Gröbner basis of $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ in lex order on $\mathbb{Q}[t, x, y]$

$$(2) \text{ Let } g_1 = x+z, \quad g_2 = y-z, \quad I = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$$

Claim: g_1, g_2 is a Gröbner basis in lex order on $\mathbb{R}[x, y, z]$

Proof: Let $f \in I$, $f \neq 0$. We need to check that

$$LT(F) \subset \langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$$

If not, then $f = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$.

On the other hand, f vanishes on

$$V(g_1, g_2) = \{(-t, t, t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n t^n + a_{n-1} t^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_n = \dots = a_0 = 0 \Rightarrow f = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $LT(f) \subset \langle LT(g_1), LT(g_2) \rangle$

Theorem 7.5 (The Ascending Chain Condition; ACC)

Let $I_0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset \dots$ be ideals in $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_N = I_{N+1} = I_{N+2} = \dots$

Proof

Consider $I_\infty := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$.

The set I_∞ is an ideal:

- $0 \in I_0 \subset I_\infty$
- If $p, q \in I_\infty$ then $p \in I_n$ and $q \in I_m$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.
Then $p, q \in I_{\max(n, m)}$ $\Rightarrow p+q \in I_{\max(n, m)} \subset I_\infty$.
- If $p \in I_\infty$ and $q \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $p \in I_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 $\Rightarrow pq \in I_n \subset I_\infty$

Hilbert's Basis Theorem $\Rightarrow I_n = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle$ for some $p_1, \dots, p_s \in I_n$.

For each $i > 1, i \geq s$ there is some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_i \in I_{n_i}$.

Let $N = \max\{n_i : i=1, \dots, s\}$. Then

$$I_\infty = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle \subset I_N \subset I_\infty \Rightarrow I_N = I_{N+1} = \dots = I_\infty \quad \square$$

Note: ACC \Rightarrow Hilbert's Basis Theorem

Since an ideal without a finite basis would give a sequence $\langle p_1 \rangle \subsetneq \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle \subsetneq \langle p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \subsetneq \dots$

Definition 7.6

Let $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal.

The variety of the ideal I is

$$V(I) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n : p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall p \in I\}$$

Proposition 7.7

$V(I)$ is a variety, i.e. $V(I) = V(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_s \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Proof Nilpotent Basis Theorem $\Rightarrow I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle$.

Then $V(I) \subset V(g_1, \dots, g_s)$ since $g_1, \dots, g_s \in I$.

For the converse, let $a \in V(g_1, \dots, g_s) \subset k^n$

Then for any $f \in I$, write $f = \sum h_i g_i$

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = \sum h_i(a) g_i(a) = \sum h_i(a) \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a \in V(I) \quad \square$$

Proposition 7.8

Let $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ an ideal and $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subset I$ a Gröbner basis. Then $\forall f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \exists! r \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $f - r \in I$ and no term of r is divisible by $\text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_s)$.

Proof

Existence of r follows from the division algorithm:

$$f = q_1 g_1 + \dots + q_s g_s + r$$

For uniqueness, suppose

$$f = g + r = \tilde{g} + \tilde{r}$$

with $g, \tilde{g} \in I$ and all terms of r, \tilde{r} not divisible by $\text{LT}(g_i)$

If $r \neq \tilde{r}$, then $0 \neq r - \tilde{r} \in I$

$$\Rightarrow \text{LT}(r - \tilde{r}) \in \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle \text{LT}(g_1), \dots, \text{LT}(g_s) \rangle$$

Lemma 6.2 $\Rightarrow \text{LT}(g_i) \mid \text{LT}(r - \tilde{r})$ for some i .

The monomial $\text{LM}(r - \tilde{r})$ must appear in either r or \tilde{r} , so $\text{LT}(g_i)$ divides a term of r or \tilde{r} . Hence $r = \tilde{r}$ and we have uniqueness. \square

Corollary 7.9

Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ be a Gröbner basis of I .

Then $f \in I \iff$ the remainder of division of f by G is zero.

Proof

By Proposition 7.8 the decomposition

$f = g + r$, $g \in I$, r remainder
is unique.

" \Leftarrow " If $r=0$, then $f=g \in I$.

" \Rightarrow " If $f \in I$, then $g+r=f+0$ is a valid
decomposition, so by uniqueness $r=0$.

Definition 7.10

Let $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $P = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$, $p_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Let $r \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be the remainder of the
division algorithm for f by the tuple P .

We denote

$$f \xrightarrow{-P} r$$

If $G = (p_1, \dots, p_s)$ is a Gröbner basis of $\langle G \rangle$
we will also denote

$$f \xrightarrow{G} r$$

" f reduces to $r \pmod{G}$ "

Definition 7.11

Let $p, q \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $>$ a monomial order.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \text{multideg } p$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \text{multideg } q$

(i) The least common multiple of $\text{LM}(p) = x^\alpha$ and $\text{LM}(q) = x^\beta$ is
 $\text{lcm}(\text{LM}(p), \text{LM}(q)) = x^\gamma$

$$\text{where } \gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n), \quad \gamma_i = \max(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$$

(ii) The S-Polynomial of p and q is

$$S(p, q) = \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(p)} \cdot p - \frac{x^\gamma}{\text{LT}(q)} \cdot q$$

Example 7.12

Let $p_1 = -t + x - 1, \quad p_2 = -t^2 + y + 1$ in lex order

on $\mathbb{Q}[t, x, y]$ from Example 5.11.

Then $\text{LM}(p_1) = t, \quad \text{LM}(p_2) = t^2$, so

$$x^\gamma = \text{lcm}(t, t^2) = t^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(p_1, p_2) &= \frac{t^2}{-t} (-t + x - 1) - \frac{t^2}{-t^2} (-t^2 + y + 1) \\ &= -tx + t + y + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = x^2 - 2x - y, \quad \text{LM}(f) = x^2, \quad \text{lcm}(t, x^2) = tx^2$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(p_1, f) &= \frac{tx^2}{-t} (-t + x - 1) - \frac{tx^2}{x^2} (x^2 - 2x - y) \\ &= 2tx + ty - x^3 + x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 7.13

Let $P_1, \dots, P_s \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, $\text{multideg}(P_i) = \dots = \text{multideg}(P_s) = \delta$

(i) $\text{multideg } S(P_i, P_j) < \delta$ for all i, j

(ii) If $\text{multideg} \left(\sum_{i=1}^s P_i \right) < \delta$, then $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i$ is
a K -linear combination of the $S(P_i, P_j)$, i, j .

Proof

Let $d_i := \text{LC}(P_i)$, so $\text{LT}(P_i) = d_i x^\delta$.

(i) $\text{lcm}(\text{LM}(P_i), \text{LM}(P_j)) = \text{lcm}(x^\delta, x^\delta) = x^\delta$, so

$$S(P_i, P_j) = \frac{1}{d_i} P_i - \frac{1}{d_j} P_j = (x^\delta + \dots) - (x^\delta + \dots) \\ \Rightarrow \text{multideg } S(P_i, P_j) < \delta.$$

(ii) The coefficient of x^δ in $\sum_{i=1}^s P_i$ is

$$d_1 + \dots + d_s = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1} = -d_s$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} d_i S(P_i, P_s) &= d_1 \left(\frac{1}{d_1} P_1 - \frac{1}{d_s} P_s \right) \\ &\quad + \dots + d_{s-1} \left(\frac{1}{d_{s-1}} P_{s-1} - \frac{1}{d_s} P_s \right) \\ &= P_1 + \dots + P_{s-1} - \frac{1}{d_s} (d_1 + \dots + d_{s-1}) P_s \\ &= P_1 + \dots + P_s \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.14 (Buchberger's criterion)

Let $I \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal and $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_d\}$ a basis of I .

Then G is a Gröbner basis of I if and only if the remainder $\overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G$ is zero for all i, j .

Proof

" \Rightarrow " Since $S(g_i, g_j) \in I$, Corollary 7.9 $\Rightarrow \overline{S(g_i, g_j)}^G = 0$