

Theorem 9.29

Let $I, J \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideals. Then

$$I \cap J = \underbrace{(tI + (1-t)J)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{ideals in } K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]}} \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

Definition 9.30

Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an ideal and $f \in K[t]$.

Then $f(t)I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$ is the ideal

$$f(t)I := \langle \underbrace{f(t)h(x_1, \dots, x_n)}_{=: h(x)} : h \in I \rangle$$

For the proof of Theorem 9.29, we will need:

Lemma 9.31

Let $I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideal and $f \in K[t]$.

- (i) $I = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle \Rightarrow f(t)I = \langle f(t)p_1(x), \dots, f(t)p_s(x) \rangle$.
- (ii) If $g = g(x, t) \in f(t)I$, then $g(x, a) \in I \forall a \in K$.

Proof

(i) Elements of $f(t)I$ are sums of polynomials

$$q \cdot f \cdot h = q(x, t) \cdot f(t) \cdot h(x),$$

with $q \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$, $h \in I \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Since $h \in I$, we have

$$h = \sum_{i=1}^s h_i \cdot p_i, \quad h_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

Then

$$gh = gf(\sum h_i p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^s (gh_i)(f p_i) = \sum_{i=1}^s \tilde{g}_i \cdot (f p_i) \\ \in \langle f p_1, \dots, f p_s \rangle \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t]$$

so $f(t)I \subset \langle f p_1, \dots, f p_s \rangle$.

Conversely since $p_i \in I$, we have $f p_i \in f(t)I$,
so $\langle f p_1, \dots, f p_s \rangle \subset f(t)I$.

(ii) Let $g = g(x, t) \in f(t)I$. By (i), we have

$$g(x, t) = \sum q_i(x, t) f(t) p_i(x) \\ \Rightarrow g(x, a) = \sum \underbrace{q_i(x, a) f(a)}_{\in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]} p_i(x) \in I \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 9.29

"c" Let $f \in I \cap J \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$f \in I \Rightarrow t f \in t I \quad \Rightarrow f = t f + (1-t) f \\ f \in J \Rightarrow (1-t) f \in (1-t) J \quad \in t I + (1-t) J$$

"d" Let $f \in (t I + (1-t) J) \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Then $f = g(x, t) + h(x, t)$, $g \in t I$, $h \in (1-t) J$.

Since $t I = \langle t p(x) : p \in I \rangle$, we observe that

$$g(x, t) \in t I \Rightarrow g(x, 0) = 0$$

$$\text{Similarly } h(x, t) \in (1-t) J \Rightarrow h(x, 1) = 0$$

By Lemma 9.31, we get

$$f(x, 0) = h(x, 0) \in J \text{ and } f(x, 1) = g(x, 1) \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow f = f(x) = f(x, 0) = f(x, 1) \in I \cap J \quad \square$$

Example 9.32

Let $I = \langle x^2y \rangle$ and $J = \langle xy^2 \rangle$

Then

$$tI + (1-t)J = \langle tx^2y, xy^2 - txy^2 \rangle$$

Computing in lex order, we find

$$\begin{aligned} S(tx^2y, -txy^2 + xy^2) &= y \cdot tx^2y + x(-txy^2 + xy^2) \\ &= x^2y^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$S(tx^2y, x^2y^2) = y \cdot tx^2y - t \cdot x^2y^2 = 0$$

$$S(-txy^2 + xy^2, x^2y^2) = x(-txy^2 + xy^2) + t \cdot x^2y^2 = x^2y^2$$

so we get the Gröbner basis

$$tx^2y, -txy^2 + xy^2, x^2y^2$$

so

$$I \cap J = (tI + (1-t)J) \cap k[x, y] = \langle x^2y^2 \rangle$$

Theorem 9.33

Let $I_1, \dots, I_m \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideals. Let

$$J = t_1 I_1 + \dots + t_m I_m + \langle 1 - t_1 - \dots - t_m \rangle \\ \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_m],$$

where each $t_j I_j = \langle t_j p : p \in I_j \rangle \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_m]$

Then $I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m = J \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

Proof

" \subset " Let $f \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m \subset K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$f = t_1 f + \dots + t_m f + (1 - t_1 - \dots - t_m) f \in J$$

" \supset " Let $f \in J \cap K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then

$$f = g_1(x, t) + \dots + g_m(x, t) + h(x, t) \cdot (1 - t_1 - \dots - t_m) \\ \text{with } g_i \in t_i I_i.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 9.29, we observe

$$g_i \in t_i I_i \Rightarrow g_i(x, t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_m) = 0$$

Evaluating at $(t_1, \dots, t_n) = (1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots$, we get

$$f(x, 1, 0, \dots, 0) = g_1(x, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in I_1$$

$$f(x, 0, 1, \dots, 0) = g_2(x, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) \in I_2$$

\vdots

$$f(x, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = g_m(x, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in I_m$$

$\Rightarrow f \in I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_m \quad \square$

Lemma 9.34

Let $I, J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideals. Then

$$IJ \subset I \cap J$$

Proof Suffices to consider

$$pq \in IJ \text{ with } p \in I \text{ and } q \in J.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } p \in I &\Rightarrow pq \in I &\Rightarrow pq \in I \cap J \\ p \in J &\Rightarrow pq \in J \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 9.34

Let $I, J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideals. Then

$$V(I \cap J) = V(I) \cup V(J)$$

Proof

$$\text{"}\supset\text{" } I \cap J \subset I \Rightarrow V(I) \subset V(I \cap J)$$

$$I \cap J \subset J \Rightarrow V(J) \subset V(I \cap J)$$

$$\text{"}\subset\text{" } IJ \subset I \cap J \Rightarrow V(I \cap J) \subset V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J) \quad \square$$

Proposition 9.35

Let $I, J \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ideals. Then $\sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$

Proof

$$\text{"}\subset\text{" } f \in \sqrt{I \cap J} \Rightarrow f^m \in I \cap J \Rightarrow f \in \sqrt{I} \text{ and } f \in \sqrt{J}$$

$$\text{"}\supset\text{" } f \in \sqrt{I} \text{ and } f \in \sqrt{J} \Rightarrow f^m \in I \text{ and } f^l \in J$$

$$\Rightarrow f^{n+l} = f^m f^l \in I \cap J \Rightarrow f \in \sqrt{I \cap J} \quad \square$$

ZARISKI CLOSURE

Proposition 9.36

Let $S \subset k^n$ be an arbitrary set.

Then the variety $V(I(S))$ is the smallest variety containing S , where

$$I(S) = \{ p \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] : p(s) = 0 \forall s \in S \}$$

is defined exactly as $I(W)$ for a variety $W \subset k^n$.

PROOF

Let $W \subset k^n$ be a variety such that $S \subset W$.

We need to show $V(I(S)) \subset W$.

By the ideal-variety correspondence, we have

$$W = V(I(W)),$$

so since both V and I are inclusion reversing,

$$S \subset W \Rightarrow I(S) \supset I(W) \Rightarrow V(I(S)) \subset V(I(W)) = W \quad \square$$

Definition 9.37

- Let $S \subset k^n$ be a subset. The Zariski closure of S is

$$\bar{S} = V(I(S))$$

- A subset $S \subset V$ of a variety V is Zariski dense in V if $V = \bar{S}$.

Lemma 9.38

Let $S, T \subset k^n$ subsets. Then

- (i) $I(\bar{S}) = I(S)$
- (ii) $S \subset T \Rightarrow \bar{S} \subset \bar{T}$
- (iii) $\overline{S \cup T} = \bar{S} \cup \bar{T}$

Proof

(i) $S \subset \bar{S} \Rightarrow I(S) \supset I(\bar{S})$.

Conversely, if $p \in I(S)$, then $S \subset V(p)$.

Zariski closure definition $\Rightarrow \bar{S} \subset V(p) \Rightarrow p \in I(\bar{S})$

(ii) $S \subset T \subset \bar{T} \Rightarrow \bar{S} \subset \bar{T}$ since \bar{T} is a variety.

(iii) $\bar{S \cup T}$ is a variety containing $S \cup T$.

Let $S \cup T \subset W$ for some variety W . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S \subset W &\Rightarrow \bar{S} \subset W && \Rightarrow \bar{S \cup T} \subset W \\ T \subset W &\Rightarrow \bar{T} \subset W \end{aligned}$$

so $\overline{S \cup T} = \bar{S} \cup \bar{T}$. \square

Theorem 9.39 (Closure Theorem, part one)

Let K be algebraically closed.

Let $V = V(p_1, \dots, p_s) \subset K^n$ and $\pi_L: K^n \rightarrow K^{n-L}$

the projection $\pi_L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{L+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

Let $I_L = \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle \cap K[x_{L+1}, \dots, x_n]$ the L -th elimination ideal.

Then $V(I_L)$ is the Zariski closure of $\pi_L(V)$

Proof

In Lemma 8.10, we showed $\pi_L(V) \subset V(I_L)$,

so it suffices to show $V(I_L) \subset \overline{V(I(\pi_L(V)))} = \overline{\pi_L(V)}$

Let $p \in I(\pi_L(V)) \subset K[x_{L+1}, \dots, x_n]$, so

$$p(a_{L+1}, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall (a_{L+1}, \dots, a_n) \in \pi_L(V)$$

$$\Rightarrow p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0 \quad \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V$$

↑ viewed as an element of $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

$$p \in I(V) = I(V(\langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle)) \Rightarrow p^m \in \langle p_1, \dots, p_s \rangle$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $p^m \in I_L$ so $p \in \sqrt{I_L}$.

Hence we have

$$\sqrt{I_L} \supset I(\pi_L(V)) \Rightarrow V(I_L) = V(\sqrt{I_L}) \subset V(I(\pi_L(V))) = \overline{\pi_L(V)}$$

□